

ERRATUM: A LOCAL PROOF OF PETRI'S CONJECTURE AT THE GENERAL CURVE

HERB CLEMENS

Abstract

A generation of symbols asserted for $n \geq 0$ in the proof of Theorem 3.3 of the original paper in fact only holds for $n > 0$, thus undermining the proof of the theorem. A new version of Section 3.5 of the original paper is given, culminating in a corrected proof of Theorem 3.3. The author thanks Deepak Khosla for pointing out the gap in the previous version of the proof.

3.5 Extendable linear systems on curves.

If M denotes a sufficiently small analytic neighborhood of a general point in the moduli space of curves of genus g , with universal curve C/M , there is a stratification of the locus

$$Z_d^r = \{L : L \text{ globally generated, } h^0(L) = r + 1\} \subseteq \text{Pic}^d(C/M)$$

such that all strata are smooth and the projection of each to M is submersive with diffeomorphic fibers. Next consider the induced stratification of the pre-image of Z_d^r under the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\alpha : C^{(d)}/M \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(C/M).$$

By considering the contact locus between this pre-image stratification and the various diagonal loci in $C^{(d)}/M$, one can construct a refinement of the stratification of

$$\alpha^{-1}(Z_d^r) \subseteq C^{(d)}/M$$

such that all strata are smooth and the projection of each to M is submersive with diffeomorphic fibers and having the additional property that, beginning with the initial element (d) of the partially ordered set $\{(d_1, \dots, d_s)\}$ of all partitions of d , the stratification is compatible with each set

$$\text{diag}_{(d_1, \dots, d_s)} \left(C^{(d)}/M_g \right) \cap \alpha^{-1}(Z_d^r).$$

Suppose now that C_0 is a compact Riemann surface of genus g of general moduli and that L_0 is a line bundle of degree d on C_0 such that the linear system $\mathbb{P}_0 := \mathbb{P}(H^0(L_0))$ is basepoint-free. Let C_β/Δ be a Schiffer variation supported at a finite set $A_0 \subseteq C_0$. Then, by genericity

Received May, 2006.

of C_0 and the remarks just above, there is a deformation $\mathbb{P}_\Delta \subseteq C_\beta^{(d)}$ over Δ of $\mathbb{P}_0 \subseteq C_0^{(d)}$ for which there exists a trivialization

$$(30) \quad T : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \times \Delta$$

compatible with each partition locus of d , that is, for each partition (d_1, \dots, d_s) of d ,

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} T \left(\text{diag}_{(d_1, \dots, d_s)} \left(C_\beta^{(d)} \right) \times_{C_\beta^{(d)}} \mathbb{P}_\Delta \right) \\ = \left(\text{diag}_{(d_1, \dots, d_s)} \left(C_0^{(d)} \right) \times_{C_0^{(d)}} \mathbb{P}_0 \right) \times \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that T is a C^∞ -map, and is not in general analytic. However T can be chosen so that, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}_0$, $T^{-1}(\{p\} \times \Delta)$ is a proper analytic subvariety of \mathbb{P}_Δ .

Now the tautological section \tilde{f}_0 of $\tilde{L}_0(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \boxtimes L_0$ defined in (27) has divisor

$$D_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0.$$

Let

$$D \subseteq \mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta$$

denote the divisor of the tautological section \tilde{f} of

$$\tilde{L}(1) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Delta}(1) \boxtimes_\Delta L.$$

Then, by (31), the “product” trivialization

$$(T, F_\beta) : \mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0 \times \Delta$$

is compatible with the trivialization T in (30), that is, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}_0$,

$$(T, F_\beta)^{-1}(\{p\} \times C_0 \times \Delta) = T^{-1}(\{p\} \times \Delta) \times_{\mathbb{P}_\Delta} (\mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta).$$

That is, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta & \xrightarrow{(T, F_\beta)} & \mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0 \times \Delta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_\Delta & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{P}_0 \times \Delta \end{array}$$

Furthermore, by (31), we can adjust (T, F_β) “in the C_0 -direction” to obtain a trivialization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0 \times \Delta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_\Delta & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{P}_0 \times \Delta \end{array}$$

which maintains the property

$$(32) \quad F^{-1}(\{p\} \times C_0 \times \Delta) = T^{-1}(\{p\} \times \Delta) \times_{\mathbb{P}_\Delta} (\mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta).$$

and achieves in addition that

$$(33) \quad F^{-1}(D_0 \times \Delta) = D.$$

Finally, we can choose the adjustments to be holomorphic in the C_0 -direction in a small neighborhood of $\mathbb{P}_\Delta \times A_0 \times \Delta$.

Thus referring to Lemma 2.7 there is a C^∞ -vector field

$$\gamma = \sum_{n>0} \gamma_n t^n$$

on $\mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0 \times \Delta$ of type $(1, 0)$ such that

1) each γ_n annihilates functions pulled back from \mathbb{P}_0 , that is, it is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}$ -linear operator,

2) for each n and each $p \in \mathbb{P}_0$,

$$\gamma_n|_{\{p\} \times C_0}$$

is meromorphic on a neighborhood of $\{p\} \times A_0$,

3) given a function

$$g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k t^k : \mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

with each g_k a C^∞ -function on (an open set in) $\mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0$ and any point $p \in \mathbb{P}_0$,

$$g \circ F|_{T^{-1}(\{p\} \times \Delta) \times_{\mathbb{P}_\Delta} (\mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta)}$$

is holomorphic if and only if

$$[\overline{\partial}_0, e^{L-\gamma}] (g)|_{\{p\} \times C_0 \times \Delta} = 0.$$

Again, following Lemma 3.2, there is a trivalization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{L}(1)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & \tilde{L}_0(1)^\vee \times \Delta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_\Delta \times_\Delta C_\beta & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{P}_0 \times C_0 \times \Delta \end{array}$$

of $\tilde{L}(1)$ and a lifting $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ such that, for the tautological sections \tilde{f}_0 and \tilde{f} defined earlier in this section,

$$\tilde{f} = \tilde{F} \circ \tilde{f}_0.$$

Thus, for each $p \in \mathbb{P}_0$,

$$(34) \quad [\overline{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}] (\tilde{f}_0)|_{\{p\} \times C_0 \times \Delta} = 0.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{D}_n^{\mathbb{P}_0} (\tilde{L}_0(1)) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_n (\tilde{L}_0(1))$$

denotes the subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}$ -linear operators. Then

$$[\overline{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}]$$

is a $\overline{\partial}_0$ -closed element of

$$\sum_{n>0}^{\infty} H^1 (\mathfrak{D}_n^{\mathbb{P}_0} (\tilde{L}_0(1))) t^n.$$

Now, referring to (29), we need to analyze

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_* [\bar{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}] &\in \sum_{n>0} H^1(\mathfrak{D}'_n) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n>0} H^1(\mathfrak{D}_n(L_0)) \otimes \text{End}(H^0(L_0)) t^n. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, by construction, this element lies in the image of

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n>0}^{\infty} H^1\left(\rho_* \mathfrak{D}_n^{\mathbb{P}_0}(\tilde{L}(1))\right) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n>0} H^1(\mathfrak{D}_n(L_0)) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cdot (id) \cdot t^n \\ &\subseteq \sum_{n>0} H^1(\mathfrak{D}_n(L_0)) \otimes \text{End}(H^0(L_0)) t^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$H^1(\tilde{L}_0(1)) = \text{Hom}(H^0(L_0), H^1(L_0)).$$

But by (34), the image of

$$\left\{ [\bar{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}](\tilde{f}_0) \right\} \Big|_{\{p\} \times C_0 \times \Delta} \in \sum_{n>0}^{\infty} H^1(L_0) \cdot t^n.$$

is zero for each $p \in \mathbb{P}_0$. Thus

$$(35) \quad \rho_* [\bar{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}](\rho_* \tilde{f}_0) = 0 \in \sum_{n>0} \text{Hom}(H^0(L_0), H^1(L_0)) t^n.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose X_0 is a curve of genus g of general moduli. Suppose further that, by varying the choice of β in the Schiffer-type deformation in Section 3.3, the coefficients to t^{n+1} in all expressions*

$$[\bar{\partial}, e^{-L\beta}]$$

generate $H^1(S^{n+1}(T_{X_0}))$ for each $n \geq 0$. (For example we allow the divisor $A_0 \subseteq X_0$ to move.) Then the maps

$$\mu^{n+1} : H^1(S^{n+1}T_{X_0}) \rightarrow \frac{\text{Hom}(H^0(L_0), H^1(L_0))}{\text{image } \tilde{\mu}^n}$$

are zero for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Let

$$\rho_* [\bar{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}]_{n+1}.$$

denote the coefficient of t^{n+1} in $\rho_* [\bar{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}]$. Referring to (29) and the fact the the operators take values in the sheaf $\mathfrak{D}_n^{\mathbb{P}_0}(\tilde{L}_0(1))$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (36) \quad &\text{symbol} \left((\rho_* [\bar{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}])_{n+1} \right) \\ &= (\bar{\partial}\beta_1^{n+1} \otimes 1) \oplus 0 \in S^{n+1}(T_{X_0}) \oplus (S^n(T_{X_0}) \otimes \text{End}^0(H^0(L_0))) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta = \sum_{j>0} \beta_j t^j.$$

By (36) and the hypothesis that the elements $\bar{\partial} \beta_1^{n+1}$ generate $H^1(S^{n+1}T_{X_0})$, we have that, by varying β , the elements

$$\text{symbol} \left(\rho_* [\bar{\partial}_0, e^{L-\tilde{\gamma}}]_{n+1} \right)$$

generate

$$S^{n+1}(T_{X_0})$$

for each $n \geq 0$.

Thus, by (29) and (35), the map $\tilde{\nu}^{n+1}$ given by

$$H^1(\mathfrak{D}_{n+1}(L_0)) \rightarrow \frac{\text{Hom}(H^0(L_0), H^1(L_0))}{\text{image}(\tilde{\nu}^n)}$$

$$D \mapsto D(\tilde{f}_0)$$

is zero for all $n \geq 0$.

q.e.d.

Current address:

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
COLUMBUS, OH 43210

E-mail address: clemens@math.ohio-state.edu